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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

SCS Engineers (SCS) completed an annual inspection of the Interstate Power and Light Company (IPL) Lansing (LAN) Landfill in Lansing, Iowa. The annual inspection was completed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) rule, 40 CFR 257 Subpart D, in particular 257.84(b)(1). According to 40 CFR 257.84(b)(1), an annual inspection by a qualified professional engineer is required for all existing and new CCR landfills, and any lateral expansion of a CCR landfill. The purpose of the annual inspection is to ensure that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR unit is consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering standards. The inspection must, at a minimum, include:

- A review of available information regarding the status and condition of the CCR unit, including, but not limited to, files available in the operating record (e.g., the results of inspections by a qualified person, and results of previous annual inspections); and
- A visual inspection of the CCR unit to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit.

This report has been prepared in accordance with 40 CFR 257.84(b)(2) to document the annual inspection.

1.2 BACKGROUND

The LAN facility includes an active CCR landfill, which currently consists of a single CCR unit. The LAN landfill has received CCR both before and after the effective date of the CCR Rule.

The inspection requirements in 40 CFR 257.84(b)(1) apply to the existing (active) CCR unit listed above.

2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SCS identified no deficiencies or releases during the annual inspection of the LAN landfill. Deficiencies and releases must be remedied by the owner or operator as soon as feasible and the remedy documented.

SCS did identify conditions during the annual inspection that are not considered deficiencies but have the potential to become a deficiency if left unaddressed. Each condition and the recommendations provided by SCS to address them are summarized in the table below. These conditions and recommendations are described in further detail in Section 4.0.
### Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>CCR Unit</th>
<th>Recommendation(s)</th>
<th>Report Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native vegetation adjacent to current fill limits within approved disposal limits</td>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>• Clear vegetation before additional CCR filling</td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulley erosion and resulting movement of CCR along the northern fill limits</td>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>• Improve CCR compaction&lt;br&gt;• Condition exposed CCR surfaces to prevent desiccation&lt;br&gt;• Monitor</td>
<td>4.3.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulley erosion and resulting movement of CCR along the toe of slope on the south side of the access road that is internal to the CCR unit</td>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>• Improve CCR compaction&lt;br&gt;• Condition exposed CCR surfaces to prevent desiccation&lt;br&gt;• Monitor</td>
<td>4.3.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulation of CCR in the drainage swale located at the south end of the CCR unit along the upper limit of the existing final cover</td>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>• Improve CCR compaction&lt;br&gt;• Condition exposed CCR surfaces to prevent desiccation&lt;br&gt;• Monitor and remove accumulated CCR that could impede proper drainage</td>
<td>4.3.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion at soil and rip rap pad in the southern perimeter ditch</td>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>• Repair</td>
<td>4.3.4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.0 ANNUAL INSPECTION

Mr. Eric Nelson of SCS completed an annual inspection of the LAN landfill on November 28, 2017. Mr. Nelson is a licensed professional engineer in Iowa and holds a Bachelor’s of Science degree in Geological Engineering. He has over 19 years of experience in the design, construction, and operation of solid waste disposal facilities. The scope of the annual inspection is described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2. The results of the annual inspection are discussed in Section 4.0.

### 3.1 OPERATING RECORD REVIEW

SCS reviewed the available information in the operating record for the LAN landfill prior to the visual inspection discussed in Section 3.2. Information reviewed by SCS included operating record materials provided by IPL and the information posted on Alliant Energy’s CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information website for the LAN facility, as of the date of the inspection.
3.2 VISUAL INSPECTION

SCS completed a visual inspection of the LAN landfill to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit.

The visual inspection included observations of the following:

- CCR placement areas including active filling areas, final cover areas, and exterior non-CCR berms or slopes.
- Contact water run-off management features including internal contact water drainage features and discharges to the LAN Upper Ash Pond.
- Non-contact storm water run-on and run-off control features including swales located adjacent to active fill areas.

4.0 INSPECTION RESULTS

The results of the annual inspection, along with a description of any deficiencies or releases identified during the visual inspection, are summarized in the following sections.

4.1 CHANGES IN GEOMETRY

No apparent changes in geometry were noted that would indicate distress or malfunction of the CCR unit at the facility. All changes in geometry observed during the annual inspection were the result of planned CCR filling activities.

At the time of the visual inspection, active CCR placement was evident based on exposed and recently graded CCR fill surfaces. Final cover is in place along nearly the entire south and east slopes as it was during the initial annual inspection with the remaining landfill areas being open.

4.2 CCR VOLUMES

The approximate volume of CCR contained in the landfill at the time of inspection is 371,000 cubic yards. This estimate is based on a design capacity of 446,900 cubic yards less the approximate capacity remaining (101,500 cubic yards) as of May 5, 2017; and the estimated volume of CCR placed between May 5, 2017, and the inspection date (November 28, 2017). The approximate capacity remaining as of May 5, 2017, is based on a topographic survey completed by Mohn Surveying and airspace calculations completed by SCS. An estimated 25,600 cubic yards of CCR has been placed in the 7 months since the May 5, 2017 topographic survey based on CCR disposal data provided by IPL in tons. The tons of CCR disposed were converted to cubic yards by assuming the CCR has an average unit weight of 1.2 tons per cubic yard.
## 4.3 Appearance of Structural Weakness

The inspection included a review of the appearance of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit. The visual inspection included a review of CCR fill areas including the top slopes, internal side slopes, external side slopes, and internal ramps/haul roads for the presence of the following conditions:

- Signs of surface movement or instability:
  - Sloughing, slumping, or sliding
  - Surface cracking
  - Slopes in excess of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3H:1V)
  - Toe of slope bench movement
  - Evidence of inadequate compaction of exposed CCR
- Inappropriate vegetation growth
- Animal burrows
- Erosion damage
- Unusual surface damage caused by vehicle traffic

### 4.3.1 Signs of Surface Movement or Instability

No signs of surface movement or instability were noted during the inspection.

### 4.3.2 Inappropriate Vegetation Growth

No inappropriate vegetation growth impacting the CCR unit was noted during the inspection. However, there is native vegetation present immediately adjacent to the current fill limits on the north side of the landfill that must be cleared before any additional filling in this area. This vegetation is not considered an operating deficiency.

### 4.3.3 Animal Burrows

No animal burrows were noted during the inspection.

### 4.3.4 Erosion Damage

#### 4.3.4.1 CCR Fill Areas

Erosion damage and the movement of CCR as a result of erosion was noted in the following areas during the inspection:

- Gulley erosion along the northern fill limit of the CCR unit
- Gulley erosion along the toe of slope on the south side of the access road that is internal to the CCR unit
- Accumulation of CCR in the drainage swale located at the south end of the CCR unit along the upper limit of the existing final cover
The erosion and movement of CCR is likely the result of inadequate CCR compaction or the desiccation of exposed CCR surfaces combined with runoff from large precipitation events. Similar minor erosion conditions have been noted in previous inspections. These conditions are not currently considered to be operating deficiencies since the current conditions are unlikely to have a significant impact on the function of the CCR unit and no discharge of CCR was evident during the inspection.

SCS recommends the following operational practices to improve CCR compaction and prevent desiccation of exposed CCR surfaces that might lead to erosion:

- Place and grade CCR in lifts that are sloped only enough to prevent the ponding of surface water within the active disposal area
- Compact the lifts of CCR using appropriate equipment until the surface is firm
- Condition (wet or dry) the CCR as needed to obtain adequate compaction before placing the next lift of CCR
- Condition exposed CCR surfaces to prevent desiccation

SCS also recommends IPL monitor the conditions in these areas during their 7-day inspections. Regular maintenance of these areas will help prevent the uncontrolled flow of surface and contact water. Erosion and uncontrolled flow of surface water or contact water has the potential to impact the stability of the CCR unit.

The accumulation of CCR in the drainage swale at the exposed CCR/final cover interface impedes the flow of contact water runoff in the swale. If flow in the swale is impeded enough, a discharge of runoff from exposed CCR surfaces onto the final cover will occur. No evidence of a discharge was observed during the inspection. However, SCS recommends IPL monitor the swale along the final cover interface during their 7-day inspections and maintain the swale by removing accumulated CCR to reestablish the as-built conditions of the swale.

Repairs were made to a damaged corrugated metal pipe (CMP) that was noted during the 2016 annual inspection. The CMP repair has also eliminated the resulting erosion along the alignment of the CMP pipe. The pipe conveys storm water to the upper ash pond from the upper surface water/contact water retention area located within the landfill limits at the west end of the CCR unit.

The areas where erosion in the surface water/contact water management feature (perimeter ditch) located along the northern limits of the landfill that were noted during the 2016 annual inspection have been addressed as recommended in the December 2016 inspection report. Although similar erosion was noted during the current inspection, no significant changes to the conditions observed during the last annual inspection were noted, and the surface water/contact water feature continues to function as intended. The minor erosion does not appear to be impacting the stability or function of the CCR unit. However, continued observation of this area during 7-day and annual inspections is recommended to ensure that these features are maintained to prevent the uncontrolled flow of surface and contact water, as erosion and uncontrolled flow of surface water or contact water has the potential to impact the stability of the CCR unit.
4.3.4.2 Final Cover Areas

A small area of erosion on the final cover was observed in the perimeter ditch along the south side of the landfill. The erosion has formed where the soil cover in the perimeter ditch meets the rip rap pad at the northwest end of the ditch. This condition is not considered an operating deficiency, but the area should be repaired to prevent the continued erosion of the final cover.

No other erosion damage was noted during the inspection.

4.3.5 Unusual Surface Damage Caused by Vehicle Traffic

No unusual surface damage caused by vehicle traffic was noted during the inspection.

4.4 DISRUPTIVE CONDITIONS

4.4.1 Existing Disruptive Conditions

4.4.1.1 Current Inspection

No existing conditions that were disrupting the operation and safety of the CCR unit were noted during the annual inspection.

4.4.1.2 Previous Inspection

No existing conditions that were disrupting the operation and safety of the CCR unit were noted during the previous inspection.

4.4.2 Potentially Disruptive Conditions

4.4.2.1 Current Inspection

Other than the items discussed in Section 4.3, no other potentially disruptive conditions were noted during the annual inspection.

4.4.2.2 Previous Inspection

No potentially disruptive conditions were noted during the previous inspection.

4.5 OTHER CHANGES SINCE PREVIOUS ANNUAL INSPECTION

No other changes to site conditions that appear to have the potential to affect the stability or operation of the facility were noted during the inspection.
5.0 FUTURE INSPECTIONS

5.1 EXISTING CCR LANDFILL

As stated in 40 CFR 257.84(b)(4), the owner or operator of the CCR unit must conduct the inspection required by paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section on an annual basis. The date of completing the inspection report is the basis for establishing the deadline to complete the next subsequent inspection. Any required inspection may be conducted prior to the required deadline provided the owner or operator places the completed inspection report into the facility’s operating record within a reasonable amount of time. In all cases, the deadline for completing subsequent inspection reports is based on the date of completing the previous inspection report. The owner or operator has completed an inspection when the inspection report has been placed in the facility’s operating record.

The next annual inspection of the LAN must be completed within 1 year of the placement of this inspection report in the operating record for the LAN facility.

5.2 NEW CCR LANDFILLS AND LATERAL EXPANSIONS

The initial annual inspection for any lateral expansion in the future must be completed within 14 months of the initial receipt of CCR in the module per 40 CFR 257.84(b)(4).